## ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

In the Matter of the Revocation of the Collection Agency License of:

GREENTREE & ASSOCIATES, INC. AND TINA ROUNTREE, CFO/PRESIDENT 508 W. Mission Avenue, Suite 200 Escondido, CA 92025

Respondents.

No. 10F-BD021-BNK

CONSENT ORDER

On January 13, 2010, the Arizona Department of Financial Institutions ("Department") issued a Notice of Hearing To Revoke and Complaint, alleging that Respondents had violated Arizona law. Wishing to resolve this matter in lieu of an administrative hearing, Respondents consent to the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and consent to the entry of the following Order.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondent Greentree & Associates, Inc. ("Greentree") is a California corporation authorized to transact business in Arizona as a collection agency, license number CA 0909508, within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 32-1001, et seq. The nature of Greentree's business is that of soliciting claims for collection and collection of claims owed, due or asserted to be owed or due within the meaning of A.R.S. § 32-1001(2)(a).
- 2. Respondent Tina Rountree ("Ms. Rountree") is the CFO and President of Greentree. Ms. Rountree is authorized to transact business in Arizona as a collection agency within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 32-1001, et seq.
- 3. Neither Greentree nor Ms. Rountree are exempt from licensure as a collection agency within the meaning of A.R.S. § 32-1004.
- 4. On March 16, 2009, the Department conducted an examination of Greentree's business affairs. As a result of the examination, the Department discovered that Greentree and Ms. Rountree:

- a. Failed to deposit with a local depository all monies collected by them and due and owing clients, and to keep such monies deposited until those monies or equivalent amounts are remitted to such clients; specifically:
  - Respondents have over transferred trust funds to the operating account during twelve-month review period causing trust shortages during the twelve month-end period;
  - ii. Respondents used trust funds to pay operating expenses; and
  - iii. Respondents experienced trust shortages in the combined trust account and operating account during the twelve month-ends of the twelve-month-review period ending January 31, 2009;

Trust Shortages are as follows:

MONTH	TRUST ACCOUNT	OPERATING <u>ACCOUNT</u>
February 2008	<\$61,668>	\$2,230
March 2008	<\$53,139>	\$4,250
April 2008	<\$94,690>	\$11,374
May 2008	<\$90,061>	\$1,629
June 2008	<\$97,114>	\$5,444
July 2008	<\$63,205>	<\$2,418>
August 2008	<\$84,516>	<\$1,284>
September 2008	<\$66,374>	<\$2,021>
October 2008	<\$48,190>	\$750
November 2008	<\$71,720>	<\$392>
December 2008	<\$52,340>	<\$156>
January 2009	<\$46,643>	<\$585>

- iv. The Department has subsequently learned that as of September 30, 2009, the Company's trust shortage was reduced to <\$12,610> due to recent profits in collection operations. The operating account balance as of this date was <\$241>.
- b. Failed to keep and maintain books, accounts, and records adequate to provide a clear and readily understandable record of all business conducted by the collection agency, including the Respondent's trust account reconciliations,

prepared at least once a month;

- i. Respondents did not provide trust account reconciliations for the respective trust accounts for the exam period; and
- ii. The trust check register/general edger accounts were used for month-end balances;
- c. Failed to keep and maintain books, accounts, and records adequate to provide a clear and readily understandable record of all business conducted by the collection agency, including books, records, and files maintained so that the Superintendent can easily conduct an unannounced spot check, as well as the required examinations and investigations;
  - Respondents' November 30, 2008, balance sheet submitted with their annual renewal is not supported by the records provided during the examination for the same date;
  - ii. The balance sheet submitted shows a trust balance of \$97,382 and a trust liability of <\$92,486>; and
  - iii. The trust check register and trust general ledger show the November 30, 2008, month-end trust account balance of <\$71,729> and no client liability as clients are paid at month-end;
- d. Failed to withdraw from their trust account all fees and commissions due to Respondents under their contract with a client and deposit them directly into their own operating account; and failing to deposit in their trust account only the funds they have collected for their client; specifically:
  - Respondents' trust shortages were caused by over transfers of trust funds to the operating account; and
  - Respondents commingled trust funds with company funds by maintaining trust funds in the operating account;

- e. Failed to meet their financial responsibility and Respondents reported a negative net worth of <\$130,360> as of October 31, 2008; specifically:
  - i. The company's retained earnings were <191,061> and profits to date were \$42,819;
  - ii. The company's negative worth resulted from both negative retained earnings and the negative trust account balance of <48,190> as of the October 31, 2008, date;
  - iii. The Department has subsequently learned that as of August 31, 2009, the company's negative net worth had decreased to <\$71,128> due to profitable collection operations.
  - iv. Respondents are insolvent, which is a ground for license revocation.
- 5. These Findings of Fact shall also serve as Conclusions of Law.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. Pursuant to Title 32, Chapter 9 of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Superintendent is charged with the duty to regulate all persons engaged in the collection agency business and with the enforcement of statutes, rules and regulations relating to collection agencies.
- 2. By the conduct set forth in the Complaint, Respondents have violated statutes and rules governing collection agents as follows:
  - a. A.R.S. § 32-1055(D)(2), by failing to deposit with a local depository all monies collected by them and due and owing clients, and to keep such monies deposited until those monies or equivalent amounts are remitted to such clients; experiencing shortages in the combined trust account and operating account during twelve month-ends of the twelve month-end-review period ending January 31, 2009;
  - b. A.A.C. R20-4-1504(B)(5) by failing to keep and maintain books, accounts, and records adequate to provide a clear and readily understandable record of all

business conducted by the collection agency, including Respondents' trust account reconciliations, prepared at least once a month;

- c. A.A.C. R20-4-1504(B)(6) by failing to keep and maintain books, accounts, and records adequate to provide a clear and readily understandable record of all business conducted by the collection agency, including books, records, and files maintained so that the Superintendent can easily conduct an unannounced spot check, as well as the required examinations and investigations;
- d. A.A.C. R20-4-1505(E) and A.A.C. 20-4-1505(C) by failing to withdraw from their trust account all fees and commissions due to Respondents under their contract with a client and deposit them directly into their own operating account; and failing to deposit in their trust account only the funds they have collected for their client; and
- e. A.R.S. §§ 32-1053(A)(1), 32-1051(1) and A.R.S. § 47-1201 by failing to meet their financial responsibility, by having a negative net worth of <\$130,360> and a negative trust account balance of <\$48,190>, as of October 31, 2008.
- 3. Respondents failure to conduct their collection agency business in accordance with the law, constitutes grounds to suspend or revoke Respondents' collection agency license pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1053(A)(3).
- 4. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1053(A)(1), the Superintendent may deny a license to a person or suspend or revoke a license pursuant to Title 41, Chapter 6, Article 10, if the Superintendent finds that an applicant or licensee is insolvent as defined in A.R.S. § 47-1201(23).
  - 5. Greentree is insolvent within the meaning of A.R.S. § 47-1201(23).
- 6. Greentree has violated A.R.S. § 32-1051(1) by failing to meet its financial responsibility.
- 7. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-132, Respondents' violations of the aforementioned statutes are grounds for a civil penalty of not more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) for each violation

for each day.

The violations set forth above constitute grounds for: (1) the issuance of an order pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-137 directing Respondents to cease and desist from the violative conduct and to take the appropriate affirmative actions, within a reasonable period of time prescribed by the Superintendent, to correct the conditions resulting from the unlawful acts, practices, and transactions; (2) the imposition of a civil monetary penalty pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-132; (3) the suspension or revocation of Respondents' license pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1053; and (4) an order or any other remedy necessary or proper for the enforcement of statutes and rules regulating collection agencies pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 6-123 and 6-131.

## **ORDER**

- 1. Greentree and Ms. Rountree shall immediately, upon execution of this Consent Order, voluntarily surrender to the Department their Collection Agency License, Number CA 0909508, issued in the name of Greentree & Associates, Inc.
  - 2. At the time of execution of this Order, Greentree and Ms. Rountree shall:
    - a. Submit to the Department a report showing that trust funds belonging to all of Respondents' Arizona clients have been returned; and
    - b. Provide correspondence to the Department indicating where Respondents' Arizona business will be referred and where Respondents' records for Arizona business transactions are located.
- 3. Greentree and Ms. Rountree shall immediately pay to the Department the examination fee in the amount of nine hundred ten dollars (\$910.00), pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-125.
- 4. The provisions of this Order shall be binding upon Greentree and Ms. Rountree, their employees, agents, and other persons participating in the conduct of the affairs of Greentree & Associates, Inc.
- 5. The provisions of this Order shall be binding upon Greentree and Ms. Rountree, and resolves the Notice of Hearing to Revoke and Complaint, subject to Respondents' compliance with

to consent to the entry of this Order on its behalf.

26

1	7. Respondents waive all rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or		
2	contest the validity of this Order.		
3	DATED this 5 day of May , 2010.		
4			
5	By As		
6	Tir <del>a Rountree, CFO and President</del> Greentree & Associates, Inc.		
7			
8	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this 10 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 2010, in the office of:		
10	Lauren W. Kingry Superintendent of Financial Institutions		
11	2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310		
12			
13	COPY mailed/delivered same date to:		
14	Office of the Attorney General 1275 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007		
15			
16	Robert D. Charlton, Assistant Superintendent		
17	Mack Wynegar, Senior Examiner Arizona Department of Financial Institutions		
18	2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310 Phoenix, AZ 85018		
19	Tina Rountree, CFO/President		
20	Greentree & Associates, Inc. 508 W. Mission Avenue, Suite 200		
21	Escondido, CA 92025		
22	Respondents		
23	Winan Lina		
24	# 800274		
25			